Alla politiker som stödde covid-vaccinationskampanjerna borde hållas ansvariga för sina lögner och bedrägerier, säger MEP

www.expose-news.com/2023/11/23/all-politicians-that-supported-covid

Rhoda Wilson

23 november 2023

Den 4 oktober skrev <u>Marcel de Graaff</u> (MEP FVD), <u>Joachim Kuhs</u> (MEP AfD) och sex andra ledamöter av Europaparlamentet <u>ett brev</u> till European Medicines Agency ("EMA") och bad dem att klargöra flera problem som identifierats med covidinjektionerna . ^[1]

"Dessa problem var så stora att vi bad EMA att dra tillbaka marknadsgodkännandet", sa herr de Graaf.

EMA har svarat och för att förklara de chockerande erkännandena från EMA höll parlamentsledamöterna en presskonferens på tisdagen.

Låt oss inte tappa kontakten ... Din regering och Big Tech försöker aktivt censurera informationen som rapporteras av The Översikt att tjäna sina egna behov. Prenumerera nu för att se till att du får de senaste ocensurerade nyheterna i din inkorg...

I Europaparlamentets brev som skickades till EMA den 4 oktober stod det:

Vi, undertecknade ledamöter av Europaparlamentet, vill förmedla vår djupa oro angående säkerheten och ineffektiviteten hos covid-19-vacciner och vi anser att det är absolut nödvändigt att omedelbara och beslutsamma åtgärder vidtas.

Vi begär därför ett direkt upphävande av marknadsföringstillstånden för följande covid-19-vacciner: - Villkorligt marknadsföringstillstånd Pfizer (Comirnaty) daterat 21 december 2020.

- Villkorat marknadsföringstillstånd Moderna (Spikevax) daterat 6 januari 2021.
- Förnyelse av försäljningstillstånd Pfizer (Comirnaty-tozinameran) daterat den 31 augusti 2023.
- Förnyelse av marknadsföringstillstånd Moderna (Spikevax-elasomeran) daterad 15 september 2023.

I detta brev strävar vi efter att tillhandahålla en omfattande, men inte uttömmande, motivering för vår brådskande vädjan.

The discourse surrounding covid-19 vaccines has been marked by a disconcerting upsurge in reported side effects, and, astonishingly, there have even been alarming reports of excess mortality. All of this has unfolded beneath a veil of unwarranted secrecy.

<u>Request for the direct suspension of marketing authorisations</u>, 2 October 2023

The rationale for the covid injection marketing authorisations to be withdrawn as noted in the letter were:

1. Vaccines not authorised for transmission control. These vaccines were authorised for active immunisation only. As such, they should only be administered to people who seek personal protection – they are not authorised for the purpose of reducing transmission or infection rates (transmission control) despite pharmaceutical companies, politicians, and health professionals promoting them due to their potential for transmission control.

2. Clinical trials for XBB.15 have commenced only recently and are scheduled for completion in 2024.

3. Rules for authorisation of genetically modified organisms ("GMO") are enormously strict as they can have a major impact on humans and the environment. However, a new Regulation was hastily introduced and became effective on 18 July 2020. This regulation pertains to the conduct of clinical trials involving medicinal products designed for human use that contain or consist of genetically modified organisms and are intended for the treatment or prevention of coronavirus disease (covid-19). However, a report published in October 2022 shows that this Regulation is void because it is not based on the correct legal basis.

4. Vaccines that fail to meet quality standards should not be granted marketing authorization. The deficiencies in the quality of the vaccines noted in the letter were: the vaccines are harmful; lack of therapeutic efficacy and unacceptable risks of side effects; lack of declared qualitative and quantitative properties; documents submitted are incorrect; inserts do not meet requirements; and, a breach of good manufacturing practices.

On 18 October, <u>EMA responded</u>. To disseminate the information contained in EMA's response, <u>Mr. de Graaff</u>, Mr. Kuhs, pharmacologist <u>Willem Engel</u>, statistician Max Schmeling ^[2] and medical doctor Vibeke Manniche ^[2] held a press conference on Tuesday.

"This answer [from EMA] contains shocking facts," Mr. de Graaf said during the press conference.

Regarding the covid injections not being authorised for transmission control, EMA confirmed the MEPs were correct. "You are indeed correct to point out that covid-19 vaccines have not been authorised for preventing transmission from one person to another. The indications are for protecting the vaccinated individuals only," <u>EMA wrote</u>.

"This is devastating for governments that have gone full circle with the message that you are doing it [getting vaccinated] for someone else," Mr de Graaf said.

Not only did EMA not authorise the vaccines against all infections but EMA went even further and admitted they did not have data on transmissibility. "EMA's assessment reports on the authorisation of the vaccines note the lack of data on transmissibility," EMA wrote.

"In other words," Mr. de Graaf said, "the vaccines were not intended to prevent infections and there was no data at all that substantiates that the vaccines help against infections. In fact, EMA states exposure to the virus increases the chance of infections even in those vaccinated."

"The mass government campaigns to vaccinate yourself to protect your parents, your neighbours, the weaker in society, were not only unauthorised but also completely nonsense and not based on facts."

Unfortunately, it gets worse, Mr de Graaf said. EMA also stated that "all safety information should be considered carefully **before** administering or recommending vaccination."

"So, you were only allowed to make a recommendation for a vaccination **after** a doctor had determined that this was sensible in your case," Mr. de Graaf explained.

"And because no one under the age of 60 had a chance of serious complications due to the coronavirus, no one under the age of 60 should be vaccinated [without exception]. So, the sports halls full of vaccine [jabbers] were completely in conflict with the use of which the vaccines had been [authorised] by the EMA."

And that's not all. It gets even worse, Mr. de Graaf said.

"With a large proportion of the general population having had the vaccines, we expect many reports of conditions occurring at or soon after vaccination," EMA wrote.

"That means the complaints must be reported especially in the first period immediately following vaccination," Mr. de Graaf said. But, "the government supported a policy in which these complaints were not reported [for] the first 14 days after vaccination because the vaccine would need 10 to 14 days to become effective."

"All complaints in this period were [noted] as [due to] the coronavirus. And that is not only fraudulent but that [has] deliberately endangered people's lives.

"And I remind you once again we are fighting with a gigantic so-called unexplained excess mortality.

"In short, this information from the EMA is destructive to the developed vaccination policy of [Dutch Prime Minister Mark] <u>Rutte</u> and [former Deputy Prime Minister Hugo] <u>de Jonge</u> ... [The government] forced the vaccines onto our citizens with lies, obscured the side effects and thus brought the health of everyone who had taken such a vaccine into danger.

"The vaccination campaigns should be stopped as soon as possible – it is simply not safe and does not meet the requirements set by the EMA. And the government and all political parties that supported this should be held accountable for their lies and fraud."

Above, we have only noted Mr. de Graaf's remarks but it's worth watching the full press conference below. It starts with Mr. de Graaf's statement in Dutch (with autogenerated English subtitles on YouTube) and then continues in English.



Watch Video At: https://youtu.be/9L3xxE8AGqE

Press Conference on the letter from EMA, by Forum for Democracy in the European Parliament, 21 November 2023 (30 mins)

Notes:

- ^[1] <u>Voor Waarheid has published a timeline</u> of the events that led up to the press conference on Tuesday.
- ^[2] In March 2023, Schemling and Manniche were two of the three authors of a letter titled '<u>Batch-dependent safety of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine</u>' that was published in the *European Journal of Clinical Investigation*.



The Expose Urgently Needs Your Help..

Subscribe now to make sure you receive the latest uncensored news in your inbox...

.

<u>Can you please help power The Expose's honest, reliable, powerful journalism for the years to come...</u>

Your Government & Big Tech organisations such as Google, Facebook, Twitter & PayPal are trying to silence & shut down The Expose.

So we need your help to ensure we can continue to bring you the facts the mainstream refuse to...

We're not funded by the Government to publish lies & propaganda on their behalf like the mainstream media.

Instead, we rely solely on our support. So please support us in our efforts to bring you honest, reliable, investigative journalism today. It's secure, quick and easy...





<u>Wikipedia co-founder Larry Sanger has started a database of encyclopaedias to challenge</u> <u>Wikipedia's dominance</u>

Dr. Jill Glasspool Malone: How the covid pandemic has advanced the UN's aim of becoming the One World Government

EU Digital Identity: Eurocrats want to create a regime in which citizens are increasingly controlled by Brussels

Exposed: The Hidden Agenda behind the UK Government's very real Chemtrail Operations

Follow Daily Expose on Telegram